# EVALUATION OF BUILDING ADMINISTRATORS

Each building administrator in Bonneville School District No. 93 shall be evaluated no later than May 1<sup>st</sup> for each annual contract year of employment in order to receive guidance and direction in the performance of his/her assignment. Such evaluation shall be based on a systematic procedure that: (1) identifies strengths and weaknesses; (2) acknowledges exceptional effort; (3) provides an opportunity for self-evaluation; and (4) develops a written record to meet legal requirements of due process. The Superintendent/designee shall establish procedures for the conduct of these evaluations and assure fairness and efficiency in the execution of such.

### Guidelines

### **Evaluation Objectives**

The evaluation program is designed to:

- 1. Maintain or improve each building administrators job satisfaction and morale by letting him/her know that the Superintendent/designee and the District Leadership Team are interested in his/her job progress and personal development;
- 2. Serve as a systematic guide for planning each administrator's further training and professional development;
- 3. Focus maximum attention on student achievement and fulfillment of assigned duties;
- 4. Assist in determining and recording special talents, skills, and capabilities that might otherwise not be noticed or recognized;
- 5. Assist in planning personnel moves and placements that will best utilize each administrator's capabilities;
- 6. Provide an opportunity for each administrator to discuss job problems and interests with the Superintendent/designee and the District Leadership Team; and
- 7. Gather substantiating data to use as a guide for such purposes as promotions, disciplinary action, or termination.

# Criteria for Evaluation

- 1. The building administrator shall be informed annually of the criteria to be used for evaluation.
- 2. The evaluation model shall be aligned to the standards identified in the state administrative rules.

- 3. Evaluation shall also include evidence of implementation of District's annual goals identified in the School District's Strategic Improvement Plan.
- 4. Part of the summative evaluation results will be based on objective measures of growth in measurable student achievement as defined in Section 33-10001 as determined by the Board of Trustees.

# Measures of Student Growth-

Multiple objective measures of student achievement that may be used to determine student growth include:

- 1. Idaho Standards Achievement Test;
- 2. Student Learning Objectives;
- 3. Formative Assessments;
- 4. Teacher-constructed Assessments of Student Growth;;
- 5. Pre-and post-tests;
- 6. Performance-based Assessments;
- 7. Idaho Reading Indicator;
- 8. College Entrance Exams (PSAT, SAT, ACT);
- 9. District Adopted Assessment;
- 10. End of Course Assessments;
- 11. Advanced Placement Exams; and/or
- 12. Professional-Technical Exams

#### **Review of Evaluation System**

Stakeholders including, but not limited to, teachers, board members, administrators, and parents shall be included in the development and ongoing review of the evaluation system.

#### **Evaluation System Approval**

Any changes to the District's evaluation plan shall be submitted to the State Department of Education for approval.

6317 Page 3 of 3

Adopted:	07-10-2013	Reviewed:	Revised:	09-10-2014 05-13-2015 01-08-2020
Cross Reference:		Reassignment of Administrative Employees #6500		
Legal Reference:		Idaho Code § 33-513 Professional Personnel Idaho Code § 33-518 Employee Personnel Files IDAPA 08.02.02.121 Local District Evaluation Policy–School Administrator		
Handbook Reference:		The School Leadership Evaluation Model Professional Learning Communities at Work, Richard DuFour Learning by Doing, Richard DuFour		